DRAFT 16 March 1967

1. The second meeting on the 'Report' was held STATINTL

9 March 1967. The same group as attended the first meeting was

present, with the exception The DTR briefing covered STATINTL

what had been agreed to the week before. The following was discussed

and agreement reached on:

DDI Film - IG Rpt (didn't think the film worthwhile)

OTR disagreed with IG rpt.

C/IS-Still think point is valid

Thought film made its points

Rod - Maybe two independents like myself and should look at STATINTL the film.

Must keep in mind the purpose of it. (about 1/2 hour long)
DDTR and C/PPS will review film.

DTR - Don't agree OFC should be shorter than four weeks. One of the points to be made is it is also for DDP personnel and represents basic tradecraft package for them. While possibly be curtailed as purely familiarization course for DDI & DDS&T personnel, for DDP of personnel (er CTs) only basic tradecraft they are going to get.

- I understand that.

p. 14 - New professionals get only four weeks.

find it hard to get them for the four weeks. New professionals can get to Midcareer and practically anyof the other courses (management).

DDP courses - CA, CLOC, CI courses, much more so again in the DDP sphere than the DDI. Management courses of value to all components.

DDI shows up better than most components on interest in management training.

Approved For Release 2001/08/30 1 CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

DTR-cutting the orientation - doubt whether we could cut significantly.

No reactions from few CTs I have talked with on cutting basic package.

Was some on ITC. Some felt could be cut to two weeks. Nothing on cutting first two weeks or 4-week SIC.

We're talking about 13-week package as being unduly long in its totality.

Rod-I wonder to what degree they would tell the DTR everything they might to others.

don't get much reaction as to desirability of the length of the 13 weeks. Exception rather than the rule. Begin to get feedback after they go on the job.

you

DTR-might encourage them to give **xx** comments on a current basis as they go to the courses. Ask them for their reactions.

- personally do not believe CTs should be framer of the program.

(Everyone agreed) We should know how they feel in totality.

DTR-we should still listen to what they have to say.

- counselors have lots of unrecorded opinion.

Rod - have talked to CT who has been through the whole program. His reaction is the whole thing is far too long. Very bright fellow.

one comment out of all the comments I have gotten is that its too long.

DTR - this is beginning to both us a little bit.

CTP-getting to the job seems unduly delayed - whether training itself is too long or not - its too long to wait

Approved For Release 2001/08/30: CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

:

8

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

DTR-have to guard against with great care any padding - should be slashed unmercifully.

Rod = Every hour of instruction has got to be reviewed.

DTR - two-week SB addenda. Finding it pretty wide of the mark.

Repetitious, adding nothing justifying this two-week period. Should cut two weeks right out. (also two weeks) seems to be better.

Would like to suggest - had some interesting packages for a number of years - ITC, SIC, IOC - all good individual entities. There is a danger because they are good we tend to sit back. As an exercise, say you want to cut ITc one week or IOC 2 days, or SIC one week.

What would you put in two-week package, etc. If you really had to cut what would you end up with. Perhaps just by looking at it can we come up with something that achieves the objective, at least would compress the time for the CT to get to the job.

8-week basic course inter-woven and they wouldn't have segments.

-we do each course piecemeal.

not necessarily shorter period of time - integrating effect of one seamless web.

enmeshing skills and disciplines.

- working out little more carefully without element of coming to a halt, closing out this chapter. Just running a continuing program, the progressing from/very general to ever more specific, so the student has

YZNTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

the impression of going through a coordinated, integrated instructional program, with faculties coming on it turn.

Rod - part of the problem goes back to the fact that - would save time if we didn't have four faculties. If we had one faculty - when C/IS picks up these men, they tell them about the Agency and the Intelligence Community - the ops people wouldn't accept anything has said. ThereSTATINTL is a lot of little wax useless xepax repetition which I don't think is very bad. Not very much time element saved. But if we had a seamless 9 weeks of one package, that would mean that was so well coordinated that no one would go over the same ground as long as same school

disagree. We coordinate with each school to a great STATINTL degree.

Rod - agree with you there. There is a very small little _____ of repition that you wouldn't have if you had a seamless course with same group of people.

not necessary _____. Interesting speculation. Studying general - wouldn't be getting just Intelligence Orientation or ITC, but general _____ for thus and so - more specificity could be a problem.

taking parts of different EXEX expertise of different faculties

you could have activity.

Rod - we have fallen into the trap in Training of following the breakdown of the organization. Is it necessary to train people to function in this Agency, to train them on a separate basis. Do you have to

Approved For Release 2001/08/30 : &IA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

TINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

on the same day.

off and think organizationally for so many weeks.

DTR - SIC not thinking DDP

Rod - largely oriented DDP.

also

DTR-DDIers/interested in aspects of international Communism as

background as part of _____ of data.

think these comments relate to the main point of examination of first 13 weeks.

DTR-would be interested in seeing it sketched out- bringing people in brand new know none of the terminology or nature of the organization. It seems to me that you do have to have a couple of weeks of actual orientation to their organizational environment. Not so sure that pedagogically you have to try to

in and give lecture on Marx and Leninism and then for ______ in and say academically (?) you do this - logical sequence of their introduction. Should chart out the seamless web.

it strickes me and your students as fragmented. What is it you want a young CT to know? Would be a single course it seems to me.

actually being tried at a university. They deny that there is any such thing as economics, political science, etc. and affirm that this seamless web is what must be taught.

DTR - (his education - sociology major - allowed no real focus on any recognizable body of knowledge. Should achieve respectable working knowledge of some major discipline.

PPS - not talking academic environment, talking about orientation

package Approved For Refease 2004 (08/30 e.SIA-RDP78-030 & 7A 600 100 020 001 vl a

ATINTL

ATINTL

definite focus xx on the way of life in our institution DTR-would be delighted to have you chart out such a course. could help you work it out.

ried it before.

- we have two distinct phases in formal training requirements initial orientation and then focus on functional area.

DTR- which approach is pedagogically more effective in achieving the objectives which is providing students with certain amount of knowledge about the organization, intelligence production, to know enough about the Communist world and then going on in to specialty. What gets it over to him more effectively? on some kind of continuing, interacting way) (?) Not terribly disturbed by the status

Rod-study of our problem-skowled what to include - the administrative reorganization which is concerned as a faculty as a package. Concerned as a unit with the entire package.

DTR- this exercise might be useful as a forum.

Rod-there is certain amount of coordination (?) now. These people are not concerned with these particular nine weeks as a whole.

DTR-overall faculty idea might be alright. Creation of _____ not such a good idea. It gets too big - loss of executive supervision because it grew too large.

ATINTL

quo.

administrative arrangements not needed because we are Approved For Release 2001/08/30 : CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

so closely together here firm impression
that there is somehow lacking this integrating tie.
DTR- make this one of our projects. Put on agenda as objective.
Gowst thorough exercise of sketching out such a curriculum and see what
administrative aspects would be. Will undertake this is in principle and
in fact.
Rod - have chairman from each school.
DTR would you be willing to undertake this.
Yes, I would be happy to.
- really talking about presumed needs for better coordination -
not really doing anything but examining matter and sequence
of various elements of program
DTR-have been-talking-about. Why don't you get together and work it
out - initial whack at coordination of CT training, coordinator of CT
content. This is part of this interrelationship and much of repetition,
between one part and another. So if you have time and can
stay with us for a little while.
DTR - Tom says doesn't think ITC is of preparation
for people coming into the DDI, etc.
- thought we had settled this point. We had invited suggestions
from DDI as to what revisions they would like to have made in the
IPC.

Approved For Release 2001/08/30 : CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

TINTL

DTR - try to fenish individual students almost individually. Don't think that is practical.

after you have reached a certain point. _____ roughly

15-17 people.

TINTL

DTR - Do you at a certain point try to tailor to the individual.

After CT finishes formal training with us he goes to on-thejob training. It is then his broad interest is km focused on nob he is going to.

DTR - if person was going to ORR or OCI would there really be any is different training in a similar sense that/differentiated between ORR product and OCI procusts.

not within concept of formal training.

This would be a responsibility of the receiving office to determine what the man needs.

DTR - was wondering abstractly if there would be any different finishing.

Would you expect that a given officer would be finished somehow differently

because he is going into OBI.

- not responsibility of OTR.

Yes, I agree.

that is operating responsibility.

DTR - could not be introductory course.

- right. Keep him and give him more training.

DTR-we would be delighted to cooperate with DDI in finishing off a man on m; ore Specialized DDI training.

ATINTL

| ATINTL

ATINTL

	DTR - take a more active interest in the selection of CTs going into
INTL	the DDI. How active an interest?
	- does not take any formalized institutional action - a very keen
	and interest on a case by case basis - a continuing dialog.
INTL	DTR - Tom does not have point here.
	No, he is expressing & feeling.
	think DDI is participating up to the hilt. Point you might be
	trying to make, "You might like to have decision made more definitely
INTL	earlier 7".
	- Last October was first time I had seen CT list. First time
	DD/I had ever seen composition of CT class. Thinkanyone here has
	particular qualities that meets (requirements) try to steer him in your
	direction.
	DTR-if DD/P or DD/S saw them that DDI might well want to embark on
INTL	deliberate (p. 15)
INTL	Tom and I parted company on this a long time ago.
	%) made point last time that IPC and ITC
	not in recruiting business. This is correct. DDI is in recruiting business
	If DDI had somebody that fitted axactly into something I wanted would be
	out and recruiting.
	DTR - We will grant program that go along on that.
	+
	p. 17 - follow up - DDI ought to Make more continuing look at composition

of CT class Approved For Release 2001/08/30 : CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

have urged him to do this.

TINTL P. 17 = "This is elevating pre-job training requirements for . . . " - realize all money comes out of Agency budget. Do have DDI TINTL budget at hear. - Remember that external training DDI spends more on - Agency external training in FY 67 - \$700,000 - easily get bigger slice of \$700,000 ATINTL Rod - Your people get about 60 weeks less than DDP CT gets of training. - will get it couple of years later and then out of DDI budget. ATINTL Where I can also try to suggest that DDI move it on to your budget. training dollar is Office of Training dollar. - doesn't cose us any more to do if you send the people. p. 19 - "Many speakers from DDI participating in OTR courses. . ." Schedule cut to five speakers _____ in mandatory course - behind ATINTL any way. would be possible if you could persuade Col. White to let ATINTL us use auditorium - have 80-man classrooms. if stuck with 80-man classrooms... PPS - we explored this last year. Cannot get auditorium reserved for two weeks. ATINTL DTR - it

doubling staff.

1.0

Larry does run seminar discussions in ϕ IOC.

if get above level we are now working cannot run seminar without oved For Release 2001/08/30 : CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

ATINTL

ATINTL

DTR - IR running for about 40 participants with people on DD level. Is this not a lavish expenditure. . . . " Think it just has to be. The IR done only twice a year.

speculative question about the CS.

DTR-we use DDP on numberous occasions - speaks to MEDC, CDS Seminar Graduation of the OC 3 times a year, CSR. DD/S comes to the IOC and makes a big impression on the CTs at the outset. DDS gets off to a sprinting start. I don't see how we can cut down on some of these exercises. Deputy Chief can certainly come in. T

IR - from that point of view started out at a lower level of representation from DDI offices. Then impetus came largely from DDI people in the course and in the offices to raise the level of participation.

DTR-se no reason why the assistant DD or an office chief shouldn't come in but nice to have them if they can possibly do it. Most of them don't have to make any actual preparation.

what is the objective of having guest speakers?

DTR - for two reasons, (1) speaker brings first-hand knowledge. Secondly, depending on his position he brings a more senior and ranging knowledge and one that he can put out from first-hand experience. A great deal of difference on having talk on tertiary data to having a first person relationship of experience.

,

11

TINTL

ATINTL

why senior person because they do not necessarily have

first-hand experience. If you are talking about DDI the man
who should know most about DDI at this point because of his position
no one else can rival in terms of access (Jack Smith)

you

the people who want are the decision-makers. Quite agree with that.

DTR-depends on what you are talking about. Doesn't mean DDP should talk

______. He should talk about DDP.

ROD-ITC something like the CSR. Why are we putting on Intel Review.

5 or 10 years have been in their rut producing their particular kind of intelligence. They have lost sight of whole picture (interrelationships). Let them know what is going on and what is being throught and talked about, new attitudes, trends.

most of these people in 13-15 level, substantive specialists.

DTR-DDS is trying to do this in 3-1/2 day course. Is 10 days too long?

comes back to basic question. Somebody has got to decide how much, how deep, how wide a course of training has to be.

DTR-just comparing. CSR is 7 days and IR is 10 and Support Services Review is 3-1/2. We have some diversity here.

Rod- can understand that maybe in a way, Take DDP and DDS people.

(Mostly DDI in IR)

- mostly, but not entirely. Not a DDI course as such.

Rod - don't come into training as often, so need them for a longer time.

Approved For Release 2001/08/30: CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

2

ATINTL

TINTL

ATINTL

No, through experiment it takes two weeks to give the kind and depth of coverage that we think is needed.

- unlike talking to CTs you have no basis for judgment as to length of course. Here you have area where you could simply ask the man involved, "Was this course too long".

- We have done this. Still get critiques, don't think there has been any suggestion at all that course be shortened. Have been suggestions that it xkxxkk ought to be longer, more intensive coverage (usually DDP activities) Feedback has never been in the direction of cutting course shorter.

P. 21

STATINTL

ATINTL ATINTL DTR-2 Permanent DDI presence in OTR and permanent voice

Need any more comment on that or not. Was not specific conclusion.

Think we backed off a little What would he do. Anything more we should say on this (ques. 1)

ep. 20 statement addressing to DD/I. One could reasonably desire to drop my assignment (pull me back). Could not comment without knowing how DD/I feels. Talking about coordination. There is a difference between DDI contacts by individual faculties and DDI view on your instructional total. Weally should be somebody from DDI to comment on those 3 days.

Don't know whether it would be useful or not.

	DDI presence where it hasht'been was given in COS Seminar.
TINTL	DTR - we had left it that it would be through the OCI briefing.
	Only place would really be in the reports function, which is $position$
	DDP has pre-empted because reports are operational reports (raw
	intelligence reporting from the field). Think DDP is xxxx in better position
TINTL	to deal with what it wants in raw intelligence reporting.
	Basically yes. On other hand prime customer is DDI.
	DTR - Can DDI provide officers who are schooled enough in DDP
TINTL	reporting procedures.
	Probably not. Suggest to have a lot of STATINTL
	new Would you like to bring a team of 3 or 4 people to have seminar
	with instructors.
TINTL	DTR - possibly have DDI panel to appear before CTs or with the faculties.
	would your faculty like opportunity? This is the question.
	Rod - that raises question. Do xxxx people in your shop talk to reports
TINTL	people in DDP
	- the flow is better now than it was in the past.
	Rod - essentially contact has got to be more consistent with what t he case
TINTL	at Hqs.
	Some of best reports officers in DDP are from DDI. Mayhuxothexxpu
ATINTL	be other people in DDI who have this kind of experience.
	take more initiative'' Would all welcome change. 'Be
	more full and specific on what they want from OTR." Agree with that.
	P. 22. Looks fine. "DDI various DDI office heads aware of Approved For Release 2001/08/30: CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

TINTL	facilities Yes agree with that.
	DTR - DD/S will rule on the individual office taking up some money in
TINTL	the future.
	point is facilities are good.
	DTR - we are anxious to see them used. Going to have to parcel out
	the cost in the future.
	DTR - "Critique system to monitor adequacies of DDI presentations".
	I am all for. Bogged down on this consistently. This is something that
	falls forgotten to inertia gradually to heart (?).
	Rod - on point I was making on repetition, we used to STATINT
	the staff from blowing a gasket when operational
	presentations were given at Hqs before class This is kind of STATINT
	to accept repition
	DTR - P.23 - on initial projective (?). very much in agreement. DDP
	making major effort to improve presentations. Successful effort. In
	favor of getting down to monitor adequacy of presentation. None of the
	Directorates has really done this (monitor presentations) I don't think. We
TINTL	find it hard to ourselves.
	send frank assessment of every speaker to DDI's office.
	Rod - Lot of DDP courses largely put on by their own people. Same is
TINTL	true of IS (DDI personnel).
	I personally feel that my own staff members are much more

competent to monitor DDI speaker and evaluate him than anyone coming in from the DDI. They Understand the continuity and content of the course.

TINTL

DTR - if they are present to monitor.

Always somebody present.

TINTL

DTR - whatxdox ought to operate on principle that visiting critiquers or no room (?)

only bad representation from DDI is when scheduled man cannot come.

DTR - same comment could be made of all our courses. Substitute problem is one of our primary handicaps.

DTR - would be glad to provide DDI with evaluation of any of the DDI presentations. We should/invited to do so.

Certain amount takes place all the time.

Rod - to what degree does DDI bring in people directly, not through CT program?

don't know exactly, but quite extensively.

Rod - don't tox seem to have as much interest in CT program.

yes, because we do more direct hiring.

DTR 0 DDI might consider publishing new pamphlet. Have they already put out one?

done about 3 years ago

- would be extremely useful.

Approved For Release 2001/08/30: CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

TINTL Rod - One on OCR is excellent pamphlet. - is too restrictive. TINTL DTR - useful to the Agency in a variety of ways. OCR pamphlet xxxxxixx designed to acquaint rest of Agency with resources of OCR. TINTL DTR - would welcome that (a DDI pamphlet). -OGR*-*pamphlet -"DDI consider offering more seminars and presentations to other Agency components to explain DDI." ATINTL DTR - Does have presentation in \$ CSR. Yes, normally has been Ed Proctor lately DTR - Does DD/S make any presentation to IR? Yes DTR - Then we probably ought to have presentation in Support Services ATINTL from DDI. No reason why they should't look into it. Objective is quite different from CSR and IR. *DXB* DDS objectives to have support people get to know each other better. STATINTL DTR - Rotation - one or two-day seminar with faculty will look

into taht. Discussed that earlier.

- only if they want it.

DTR - "More care be taken to meet selection of <u>frelis</u> for rotational tours". Moving toward that effectively. Not happy with this. In agreement with p. 26 on quality of rotational personnel.

we repeatedly turned down files that come from the DDI because they are not up to our standards. We do not accept anyone simply because they are recommended. DDI has never pressured us to take anyone we did not want.

DTR - "Tours in OTR for DDI people should be shorter than they have been in the past" (one-year tour)

How firexitx feasible is a one-yeae tour generally?

rotational tour 3 years.

can't have duration normally.

- my answer might be it does not work at all. There are certain exceptions to it. Men who can come into a job and begin to function the effectively the next day. Earl is one of those men. Need we had was so terribly great for somebody that particular area. On the whole it is difficult for a man to come in from an operational type job and begin to function

effectively within that 12-month period. Would make much more sense to make as

DTR - might as well forget three years.if you want quality you KENKER

we should be even more selective and try to get exceptional guy every time, otherwise one/year would not be effective.

ATINTL

KTINTL

ATINTL

STATINTL

TINTL

DTR 0 Harder for DDP to walk in and teach. When you send man etc., you can't make it a one-year tour. Have been inclined to feel I would be glad to let man teaching COS Seminar take it for one year. Up to now C/OS has done COS Seminar. Would be willing to separate jobs. Think he could do better job if he took it for two years. On the other hand, have better chance of getting good guy if took him for a year. It may be these editorial assistants will be of a high quality.

there will be no deadheads, only qualified people.

thought to rotate these eight DTR if they are men of Earl's quality. Could go on experimenting tentatively with one-year assignments.

most of those people are of very high caliber.

DTR - OK. DDI _____ than DDP on amount of time spent away.

?)

Rod - normal thing in DDP to be away and then come back again, but not in DDI.

DTR - DDP officer maximum not concentrating on one body of knowledge.

Exect Rod - You mean by that to attract them by manner of their presentation and participation in various program, not out and out recruitment.

Approved For Release 2001/08/30: CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

ATINTL

[&]quot;DDI position be developed on what CT needs to know" DTR - all for that.

[&]quot;Composition of training class! - DTR Yes, we agreed.

[&]quot;Right to try to attract CTs". DTR - Yes

P. 27 - "How DDI feels about basic CT program"

DTR - Propose that you review 13-week program. One problem be delighted to have DDI criteria.

DTR - I TC - p. 27, 12.b. - Should reduce number of practical work exercises, introducing live analysts and or more critiques immediately after problems.

Rod - & What do you mean by that.

These are good. Nothing wrong with case studies. From DDI image point of view what is purpose of three weeks. Most of the CTs who went through it when I was there got the impression it was DDI pitch to them. If this is so, from the DDI image point of view, something to be said to making it something less than a _____ course, using more current material and using the live analyst just merely to get the live atmosphere.

Rod - here you are saying cut practical exercises.

DTR - there are two points here, (1) reduce the number of practical work exercises; (2) Introduce live analysts.

Number of practical exercises - 13 in a 15-day period. Some of them xax are in short simple course (?). Make it more of a demonstration course with parti¢al practical work, more discussion of the uses, where and whys with somebody who is engaged in the work on the spot.

this is the purpose of the course.

Approved For Release 2001/08/30 : CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

20

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

TINTL TINTL Whether its works because pedagogically it is better, that is another question. We are concerned with basic skills on what is required in production of finished intelligence. Intent is to acquaint them with the skills required. Across the board skills. Not an evaluation of their ed ability to go on DDI job, evaluation of skills used everywhere. Concernings with skills and evaluation of those skills and secondly acquainting them with what the production of finished intelligence is. ** we are giving them only a basic understanding of what the production of finished intelligence is and get a chance to evaluate skills. Live analyst takes time. Would have to reduce our coverage. Seems to me it would be an ATINTL uneconomical use of the limited time. tend to emphasize what should be incidental. pitch (?) idea should be part of basic concern from instructor point of view. Should keep pitch (?) in low key. ATINTL Rod - OFC is considered DDP course. don't question at all that is what comes through (ITC is DDI

pitch). This comes through despite the fact that we tell them in no incertain terms that this is not the purpose of the course. Individually we repeat the fact that this is not the purpose of the course. That is what we ought to kooks _____ out rather than how we ____ conduct the course the if we were conducting & course as a DDI recruitment device.

Approved For Release 2001/08/30 : CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

DTR - Leaving live analyst out, what abaout the first and third, reducing the number of practical work exercises and holding more critiques immediately after problems.

Tom would find a lot of support among the CTs, which I would discount, particularly among those who are not terribly bright - the course pushes them hard. Those who are bright are excited by it.

DTR - The last group of CTs I talked to praised the ITC (DDPers) is (Depending on?)

Second point (hold more critiques). this/function/wk the number of people on the staff and the number of people in the class. If it is just a tutorial class they would get feedback almost instantaneously. The instructor still has to read the paper. There will be some lapses.

It would be vary valuable if there were less time between doing of exercise

and the evaluation of it.

There are two points I would like to ;make. We say this is not a DDI course, in a sense teaches skills which are common throughout the Agency. Other writing parts in 13-week package. _____ of FIR at

I have looked into this and find there is a completely different emphasis on the kind of writing taught. FIR concerned with format and content and in a sense it is a bit contrary to the kind of writing we are concerned with - basic writing skill, economy, directness. Areas FIR is concernd with - FIR report as a form, _____ and functions. FIR requires completeness, full coverage, etc. Up here we teach writing as a form of concentration, as economic kind of communication. There is a differ emproyed For Release 2001/08/30: CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

TINTL

TINTL

ATINTL

DTR - still feel there is probably more we could do in trying training cycle as a whole to improve writing skills. M Nothing more basic to Agency's work than quality writing skill. IS takes a whack at it. Maybe you don't have enough work on it. Perhaps it should be expanded. Have feel we are not picking it up right from orientation on up to graduation from the OFC. If there is anyplace I would welcome the "seamless web" it would be in writing skills.

Rod - fairly significant. In all fairness to DDI there ought to be DDI block because there is a DDP block. The only part of the Agency that has real grasp of this from the point of view of propaganda value is the DDP.

DTR - think anyone of us would retreat from any position which suggested lessening of pedagogical quality to get over the comlonents.

no. Depends upon what it is one is trying to teach. You can't teach somebody to write at the age of twenty-seven.

DTR - should keep Hitting (?) on it through the length of the course.

Individual instruction. We try to make clear to these people that during this 3 weeks of the ITC in the writing exercises we can help some of those who write well to improve the way they write from the point of view of OCI, ONE or ORR, and we offer to those we cannot remake as it were during the 3 weeks enough writing workshops that they can come to after they get on the job.

DTR - there hasn't been much enrollment in the writing workshops.

people who teach them in my staff seem to always be doing it

and do Apprived For Refease 2001/08/361: CIARDP78103887400690000000075 that

ATINTL

ATINTL

if they are deficient on some skills they can come as individuals later on and week help improve themselves.

TINTL

ATINTL

DTR- do you give anything outside of CT program on analytical skills.

- Have course on intelligence research (2). Both courses primarily concerned with analytical skills.

DTR - how long - Intel Res part-time for 8 weeks

Worked with problem of developing full-blown estimative analyst have included blocks in writing courses. A great deal has to be done
in that connection. The development of a course on _____. Haven't
gotten anything to be proud of.

DTR - include as one of our objectives (development of a course in analytical and estimative skills). DDP has crucial estimates to come up with xxxxx in a crash ______. Not one of those men has even had any exposure to formal training in the analytical area. Practically no one has been through any aspect of the DDI process. Nothing could be more valuable for extremely promising DDP station chief than to spend 6 to 9 months as an observer/participant with the Board of National Estimates or OCI, etc.

Rod - in respect to writing in OTR it becomes apparent a great deal of time is spent ixx on writing, not only on format but ϕ in teaching a man to be a better writer. It is all within the context of that format that an effort is made to improve writing capability. If this part of the orientation course is not a DDI (?). Maybe we ought to divide our writing

training in this Agency improve general capability
of a man to express himself and reduce, reduce effort in techniqses TINT
and reduce format capability. to me
Seems/that objective at any and every stage within the format
is to achieve clarity of expression, do more of it rather than less.
Rod - talking about economy of effort and time. If you are going to teach
a man to be a better writer we have IS, - one thing to filling STATINT
out a page correctly and another thing in making yourself clear. Whenever
you apply yourself to format you obviously have to make youself clear.
Perhaps we can devise some method - restudy the whole business of
teaching on writing in OTR and maybe save ourselves.
DTR around - errors in grammar. If I
were teaching some of these kids and got a lousey paper in I would tell
him, this is terrible.
a guy goes out and then writes up an operation
report. When it comes down to writing FIR, objectives are same (clarity
of expression). To take out mass of details, things that are facts,
clearly identified of all of this. If it is a course
in basic writing skills I woald suggest consider using formats other
than DDI formats. If you are interested in clarity of expression give
a guy a contact report.

TINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

we cannot teach these guys how to write. Cahl call their attention to certain weakness. This is about as far as we can go. Writing has to be taught in context.

DTR - had asked that people who were below level of acceptablity - that I receive their names.

don't get any guys that are that bad.

Rod - maybe a systems analysis study of our teaching of writing in OTR could save us quite a bit of time. Maybe somewhere we should teach what we teach in the way of writing skills and elsewhere concern ourselves with format.

DTR - this is a continuing process. You have to catch them out wherever you can.

you do not get many students into writing workshops on ______

-Writing Workshop cannot be more than 15. Much better if it is 10.

- Security asked to have a Writing Workshop put on right in the middle

he was he

of the ITC. When the perfect told we could not do it they said, "Let me

talk to your boss". No he can tell these people =

seem to be on the subject of Writing Workshops.

although the objective is skills I still think we can take more current old items. Think it would be helpful if we could work on something more recent. Would urge that if we could that we update our problems.

Approved For Release 2001/08/30 : CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

TINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

DTR -	feel	the	same	way	about	that.
-------	------	-----	------	-----	-------	-------

DTR - "IPC - suggest dropping course research project and planning individualized programs". Not sure that other people in the DDI would agree with that. showed positive interest in these research projects.

I can tell you some who would agree with this. Only one man opinion (?) who counts (DD/I) and he is out of town.

given the objectives of the IPC

concerned about objective point of view. OFC cannot be cut because it includes other students. So really it is present of \$\mathcal{I} O CT student. IPC can't be DDI course, but OFC is DDP course and OC and SOC are DDP.

ITC is DDI and DDS&T course. Concerned with intelligence production.

- if so, DDI should set the standards of the objectives.

DTR - What is your objection to research projects parkaged pitched at too high a level

don't think it is the best use of time. Think they are pitched at a high level for this level of employee. A number of persons told me they have awfull y hard time getting down to nuts and bolts of everyday life, particularly in GS-7 to 11, to jump from the problem of what the DCI does in relationship to the White House down to preparing OB report and keeping files updated and is (?) pretty lowly work.

This opportunity to do some research work and preative work using proved for Release 2001/08/30: CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

the area of the DDI as a laboratory is the first opportunity these people

TINTL TINTL

TINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

The CTs select the research topics themselves, they are approved by the faculty, then they go out and do this research work and come up with conclusions they discuss in a seminar with the other CEs. Some topics have not been as good as others. We kepp this business of the course research project under careful review all the time. We have just asked DDI components if they are interested in it. is interested, Kent STATINTL is interested in it. J. Murphy, John Clarke and have STATINTL responded favorably within the last several days. These ______ plus actual experience CTs get in doing research and writing report impresses (?) me for the moment as though this course research report idea is something that should be continued.

ATINTL

DTR - what percentage of time does this take?

two weeks out of 9 if topics are carefully selected and CT is going to contribute something to sum total of knowledge on the subject whatever time you give them is not going to be enough. We have given them less time and they have complained. We have broken the time up and they have complained also. We will continue to play with the way it is done and feel confident that it probably will continue to be an extremely \(\psi\) useful exercise. That is what they want (full time).

ATINTL

DTR - what is the feedback?

almost without exception (they get so carried away and enthusiastic
about it - out of all proportion to what they have done with it). Every once in a
while some think it is not worthwhile. Most of them get very carried away
Approved For Release 2001/08/30: CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1
with it.

Approved For Blease 200 1/00/30 . CIA-RDF / 0-0	300 100020001 1
Only really adverse reaction we hav	ve had has come from two gi:
were going to the DDP. They felt it was a lot	of work and a waste of time
Uniformly the reaction has been favorable. V	ery because they
think they have contributed something.	
This is the first opportunity they h	have had to contribute to
a live subject.	
Rod - don't the people they contact get a little	tired of it?
- some do.	
Ag I cannot think of anyone who was no	ot entirely free to say he did
not have the time.	
impression - have feeling that in the	he majority of cases this
problem afford the first opportunities for thing	gs to fall into place. The
individual begins to see how the lives	s. In that respect it serves
a useful purpose.	
DTR - Tom, what would you put in its place?	
According to the schedule it is actual	ally three weeks (resear ch
problem). In some cases it depends upon the post of DTR (?)	individual. In some cases
don't put anything in place of it. Give him pra	actical work to do. Would
rather have concrete work to do.	
DTR - that is immuatable struggle between for	rmal training and on-the-
job training.	
would into it take	e the individual (going into
OCI - don't want him until he has had language	e .)

Rod - can't you see a problem of this type being very useful in general Approved For Release 2001/08/30 : CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

	<i>!</i>
ATINTL	to these people? Is the general principle bad or useless?
	would hope that these people when you hired them had that kind
	of experience.
ATINTL	Rod - this is a new frame of reference for them.
ATINTL	as the customer I do not think it is worth the time and effort.
	- This 3-week period is the synthesis. This gives them thexis
ATINTL	kixxx a chance to synthesize.
ATINTL	would rather seem them take an intelligence problem.
	There would then be no point in taking the IPC at all. Why
	not stick them right on the job immediately and begin to phase them into
	whatever they are going to do?
	DTR - The DDP runs essentially a midcareer program internally. They are
ATINTL	dealing with a relatively small number of bodies.
	not sure the DDI consider such a thing.
	- S&T does it on their own, again without regard to what OTR
	is doing.
ÄTINTL	DTR - S&T course is not a CT course and is not even a young officer
	course. It is a sort of major internal training.
	Senior managers of school say we have coordination between facultie
	of schools on working level with instructors, they say we do
	not care what other person teaches. We have exceptions. This may be an
	exception.

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

Is this an overlap between OFC and first two weeks? One said he was aware of duplication and said he had been trying to coordinate it for two years. & "We don't care what that faculty teaches" DTR - Cable Secretariat was repeated lecture - comes in IOC and then occurred somewhere else.

this is something that has been terminated.

DTR - personally think DDI would find it rather difficult to put on training for 55 CTs a year. It is up to them.

- don't know anyone who has given serious consideration to this idea. Question of individual tailoring of programs strikes me as a quite reasonable thing.

DTR - it depends partly on how you allocate. DDP allocates rather late in the game. Don't know how DDI goes about allocating.

there is no set point. We deal directly with individual offices.

DDI says this is the way they want to do it.

- think it is basically (?) logical. DDI and DDP are different. DDI of specialized substantive field. CT has developed interest and abilityd (in that field?)

DTR - what happens if different components each want some guy?

it is negotiated. Numbers are not so great it ever becomes a problem.

STATINTL

DTR - Problem lessened by fact of lateral recruitment. feels he is particularly dix against in the allocation of CTs in number Approved flor Release 2001/98/30 helle RDP 18-103087A000160020001cause of

his recruiting laterally.

it has long been the rule that anybody with an economics

backgfound is automatically referred to the DDI.

There are

DTR - some of those that go to the DDP/

know this.

- this happens.

ATINTL

ATINTL

DTR -Do young officersin DDI have the right to apply for the CTP.

There is virtually a complete lack of knowledge (of the program)

the impression is that there is, though not a deliberate attempt to

withholed knowledge, there is no effort to bring it up.

DTR - while understandable in jungle environment, it is unjust to the young officer. Agency policy that every me young officer has the right to apply for the pro gram and that he is not necessarily returned to the component. They should

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

ATINTL

I have included regulations in this report.

- we get referral files that have been considered elsewhere in

the Agency. We are getting all that through the operational skills bank.

DTR - percentage (of internals) will run up to 20%.

- the percentage in this current class hitting below 20% overall.

DTR - Probably have an average over the years of 15%. DDP has quota twice

as large as DDI. 5% of the class ought to be internals.

it works out that way.

DTR - that would be very few - 4 or 5 out of 90.

15 out of 91 are internals in this present class.

DTR - do you get same number of DDI internals. Approved For Release 2001/08/30: CIA-RDP78-03087A000100020001-1

- Yes, really quite proportionate in selecting people for the DDI there is much more careful consideration of individual to the particular office to which he is going than anywhere else in the Agency. In DDP looking at general qualities applicable over a large area. Generally speaking it is a rather tailored placement in every instance.

d

ATINTL

DTR - need to go over annexes? Annex C - CTP

overall picture still strikes me that you have a program that doesn't function as an Agency program, and that is the part of what I am trying to ted the DDI. You ought to take a really hard cold look at it. Is it really the type of mechanism you want. Look at total Agency training effort - DDI image would be projected. White

DTR - will go over annexes to see if there is significant ground we haven't been over yet. (If so will call another meeting.